# The effect of graphite sources on preparation of photoluminescent graphene nano-sheets for biomedical imaging

Soroush Moasses Ghafary<sup>1</sup>, Shadie Hatamie<sup>2</sup>, Maryam Nikkhah<sup>1</sup>, Saman Hosseinkhani<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nanobiothechnology, University of Tarbiat Modares, Tehran, Iran <sup>2</sup>Institute for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (INST), Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran <sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Biological Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective(s):** Graphene as two-dimensional (2D) materials have attracted wide attention in different fields such as biomedical imaging. Ultra-small graphene nano-sheets (UGNSs) have been designated as low dimensional graphene sheets with lateral dimensions less than few nanometres ( $\leq$  500 nm) in one, two or few layers. Several studies have proven that the process of acidic exfoliation and oxidation is one of the most effective methods to synthesize low dimensional graphene sheets. The band gap of graphene can be changed through changing the reaction temperature resulting in different photoluminescent colors. The aim of our study is synthesis of multi-color photoluminescent UGNSs for biomedical imaging.

**Materials and Methods:** Two different UGNSs were synthesized from two different graphite sources via acidic treatment with a mixture of sulfuric and nitric acids. The prepared UGNSs were characterized by UV-Vis, photoluminescent, Raman spectroscopy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The photoluminescence colors of the prepared UGNSs were detected under excitation wavelength of 470 nm using optical filters.

**Results:** The results showed that the graphite primary source is a determinant factor in the synthesis of different UGNSs. While altering reaction temperature didn't significantly change the emission wavelengths; however it affected their photoluminescent emission intensity.

**Conclusion:** Overall, nontoxic UGNSs synthesized by simple acidic treatment of graphite with different photoluminescent colors (green, yellow and red) can be a promising fluorescent probe for bioimaging.

Key words: Bioimaging; Graphite source; Photoluminescent; Ultra-small graphene nano-sheets

#### How to cite this article

Moasses Ghafary S, Hatamie Sh, Nikkhah M, Hosseinkhani S. The Effect of Graphite Sources on Preparation of Photoluminescent Graphene Nano-sheets for Biomedical Imaging. Nanomed J. 2017; 4(3): 164-169. DOI: 10.22038/nmj.2017.8957

## INTRODUCTION

Graphene is a two-dimensional (2D) carbon structure of single or multi-layer sheets, where carbon atoms are covalently connected in a hexagonal symmetry [1-4]. Two dimension graphene sheets have zero band gap with nonfluorescent nature. Interestingly, recent studies have shown the reduction of graphene sheets to a few nanometers can lead to an increase in the band gap and emergence of photoluminscent (PL) emission feature [5, 6]. The mentioned nanostructures are called graphene quantum dots (GQDs). The reduction of graphene sheets' size results in the disruption of the integrity and continuity of the  $\pi$  electrons in the system and emergence of the quantum confinement effect, which is responsible for creating optical properties depending on the size of graphene sheets [7, 8]. As reported, the types of edges formed upon cutting in different directions and sizes of graphene sheets are important factors in determining their different properties. The PL emission of graphene nanostructures can vary with their sizes, zig-zag edges and shapes [9].

In general, there are two strategies for the synthesis of graphene nano-sheets: top-down and bottom-up. Top-down methods involve cutting graphene-larger materials to smaller ones, while the bottom-up methods include their preparation

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author Email: saman\_h@modares.ac.ir Note. This manuscript was submitted on December 25, 2016; approved on February 15, 2017

from carbon precursors with conjugated carbon atoms [10, 11]. Nowadays, the top-down methods are more used by researchers due to their simple methodology, high quantum yield, low cost, and mass production [11, 12]. Acidic exfoliation and oxidation has been known as an effective approach to synthesize fluorescence structures from carbon based materials such as carbon fiber, carbon black and graphite sources [13-16].

In this study, we synthesized UGNSs with different PL emission colors from two distinct sources of graphite: synthetic (type-1) and commercial (type-2). Ultra-small graphene nanosheets (UGNSs) and GQDs (0D) are quite similar in terms of photo-physical properties except their sizes. The results showed that primary graphite source play an important role in PL emission feature of UGNSs and reaction temperature doesn't significantly affect PL emission. According to our knowledge, there is not any report on synthesis of ultra-small graphene sheets from different graphite sources and its effect on comparison of their PL emissions. Due to the unique properties of ultrasmall graphene fluorescence structures including high chemical stability, excellent optical properties, high resistance to photo-bleaching along with high biocompatibility and non-cytotoxicity in comparison to similar conventional fluorescence quantum dots (i.e. CdSe, CdS), this construct has proposed as a promising fluorescence probe for bioimaging applications [13, 17, 18].

# MATERIALS AND METHODS Preparation of UGNSs

The UGNSs were prepared by acidic exfoliation in a certain ratio of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and HNO<sub>2</sub> (Merck-Germany) [13, 19]. For this purpose, 10 mg from each of the graphite sources was added in to mixture of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (50 ml) and deionized water (10 ml) and sonicated for 30 min. Then, it was injected into a three neck flask contains H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (50 ml) and HNO<sub>3</sub> (30 ml) and stirred overnight under nitrogen flow. Then, citric acid (Merck-Germany) was added to the solution in order to introduce carboxylic groups on graphene sheet surfaces to improve their optical properties and water solubility. Deionized water (2×volume of the reaction) was slowly added to the flask after the completion of reaction, the color of the solution was changed to reddish brown and greenish gray in the performed reactions with type-1 graphite and type-2 graphite sources, respectively. Then, pH was adjusted to

8 using sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and sodium carbonate ( $Na_2CO_3$ ) (Merck-Germany). Eventually, the reaction mixture was filtered, desalted and freeze dried. The properties of synthesized UGNSs were characterized by UV-Vis, photoluminescent, and Raman spectroscopies.

## Characterization of UGNSs

PL spectra were recorded by Cytation<sup>™</sup> 3 multi-mode detection system (BioTek) at room temperature. A Philips XL30 model scanning electron microscope was used to obtain the SEM images. Absorption spectra were recorded using Perkin Elmer ultraviolet–visible (UV-Vis) spectrometer. The aqueous suspension of UGNSs was used for the UV–Vis spectroscopy, with deionized water as the reference.

Raman measurements were performed using Almega ThermoNicolet Dispersive Raman spectrometer, with excitation laser wavelength of 532 nm.

In order to study of the reaction temperature and sonication time effects on PL emission of UGNSs, the exfoliation reaction was carried out in various temperatures (60, 65, 95 and 110°C) and different ultra-sonication times. To investigate the primary source effects on PL emission of GQDs, the same exfoliation reaction conditions was designed with two different graphite sources.



Fig. 1. Schematic image of acidic exfoliation reaction

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A schematic image of acidic exfoliation process is exhibited in Fig 1. Synthesis process is based on the fact that the generated gas molecules exert a large force against the weak noncovalent forces of the graphite layers in order to expand them. Expansion and exfoliation of graphite layers results in production of graphene mono layers that are precursors of graphene nanostructures [20].

Initially, to investigate the effect of the two different graphite sources on the synthesis of UGNSs, the PL of UGNSs was compared using the variation of excitation wavelengths in the same reaction conditions.

Using two different graphite sources, two

S. Moasses Ghafary et al. / Preparation of Photoluminescent Graphene Nano-sheets for Biomedical Imaging



Fig. 2. The photoluminescent spectrum and digital camera photo of (a) UGNSs1 under visible light (left) and excitation wavelengths at 420 nm (middle) and 470 nm (right) and (b) UGNSs2 and their digital camera photo under visible light (left) and excitation wavelengths at 470 nm using optical filters (right)



Fig. 3. The photoluminescent spectrum of synthesized UGNSs (a) in various reaction temperatures (60, 65, 95,  $110 \circ C$ ) and (b) in different ultra and bath-sonication times (shown by t us and t bs, respectively)

different UGNSs were obtained in the same reaction conditions; UGNSs 1 exhibited two different colors (green and yellow), while UGNSs 2 showed multiple emission fluorescence namely green, yellow and red emission colors. The difference in PL emission colors may be due to the different lateral sizes or amounts of oxygen-containing functional groups on their surfaces [21]. Similar to our study, Ruquan et al [22] have revealed that primary source play an important role in various properties of GQDs. They obtained three different PL colors of GQDs, blue, green and yellow from three distinct types of coal as primary sources. As shown in Fig 2 a, b, by increasing the excitation wavelength from 350 to 470 nm, the emission wavelength peaks shifted towards higher wavelengths along with an increase in their intensity. The two-color fluorescence of UGNSs 1 (i.e. green and yellow), produced from acidic exfoliation process of type-1 graphite, could be attributed to the size dependent PL corresponding to quantum confinement effect [22]. While multi-color extra fluorescence UGNSs (i.e. green, yellow and red) were obtained from type-2 graphite (Fig. 2a, 2b). Multi-color UGNSs, when excited at a single wavelength (470 nm) the green emission peak broadens towards longer wavelengths (i.e. yellow and red regions) resulting in fluorescence at three spectral regions (green, yellow and red). The observed multi-colored emission could be attributed to the existence of differently sized graphene sheets in the aqueous solution, as a result of surface functional groups and disordered structures such as defect levels and sp<sup>3</sup> carbons [21].

Under oxidation conditions, the newly created small traps and structural disorders may allow the excitation with a special wavelength and emission of multiple colors in a similar manner to what has been observed in UGNSs 2. As mentioned above, graphene



ig. 4. SEM images of (a) UGNSs1,(b) UGNSs2, (c) type-1 graphite and (d) type-2 graphite

nano-sheets obtained from type-1 graphite source show two different colors (green and yellow) when excited with two different wavelengths (350-420 and 470 nm). We were curious if red emitted-graphene sheets also could be obtained from type-1 graphite source. For this purpose two different parameters were considered: First, the reaction temperature and second the sonication time during the synthesis process.

As shown in Fig. 3 a, the PL intensity of UGNSs showed significant changes upon altering the reaction temperature (from 60 to 110°C). Increasing temperature would accelerate the movement of molecules in the reaction mixture which may increase the oxidation rate of graphene sheets and surface defects. Therefore the PL intensity increased much more with increasing the reaction temperature. In addition, increase of the PL intensity also can be attributed to a highly mono-sized dispersion of the UGNSs in higher temperatures. As reported [23-25], PL emission of GQDs could be influenced by their sizes, edges, defects, and shapes. By increasing the reaction temperature from 60 °C to 65 °C, the

emission wavelength was slightly shifted to shorter wavelengths upon excitation at 420 nm. The blue shift of emission wavelength occurred probably due to the changes in the concentration of oxygen containing functional groups present on the surface of UGNSs, as well as result of reducing the size of the graphite flakes upon increasing the temperature. However, when the temperature was elevated from 65 to 110 °C, with the same excitation wavelength no significant changes were observed in the emission peak and only the fluorescence intensity was



Fig. 5. The UV-Vis absorption spectrum of UGNSs



Fig. 6. The Raman spectrum of (a) UGNSs1and graphite type1 and (b) UGNSs2 and graphite type2

increased; probably due to a highly mono-sized dispersion of the UGNSs and the presence of small trap states related to the oxygen containing functional groups, in higher temperatures.

The PL intensity of UGNSs 1 was changed and slight shift was observed in the position of the emission peak wavelength, when altering the sonication time. As shown in Fig. 3 b, UGNSs obtained at 10 min ultra-sonication and 30 min bath-sonication showed green emission color. By decreasing the sonication time (2 min ultrasonication and 30 min bath-sonication), the PL intensity was decreased and slight shift towards longer wavelengths (yellow spectrum region) was observed. It seems that in low sonication time, the possibility of breaking down graphene sheets was reduced and the larger nano-sheets with yellow emission color were synthesized. Probably, oxygen containing functional groups on the graphitic domains make them fragile; therefore red emitted-UGNSs weren't obtained by further reduction of sonication time (only 2 min bath-sonication).

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the two synthesized UGNSs and the two graphite sources are shown in Fig 4. According to Fig 4 a, b there are major differences between the size and shape of UGNS 1 and UGNS 2. The size of the UGNSs1 was in the range of 100-250 nm, with the round shapes whereas UGNSs2 was between 300-500 nm without a determined shape. SEM images of type-1 graphite showed high thick planar layered structures with amorphous shapes (Fig 4 c) while type-2 graphite were thinner planar layers with crystalline structures (Fig 4 d). The UGNSs 1 obtained from type-1 graphite showed different sizes that could create different emission colors and confirm the results of PL spectrums (Fig 2).

It is probably due to amorphous shapes and high thick layered structures of this source which could break down into different sizes in oxidative process. Type-2 graphite, under the same reaction conditions, could break down into UGNSs with differences in their sizes and shapes probably due to thin layer structure of graphite source which break down to different directions and make different shapes and sizes of UGNSs.

UV/Vis absorption spectroscopy of the synthesized green and yellow UGNSs1 exhibited a peak at 300 and 320 nm respectively, which is associated with  $n-\pi^*$  transition, and a strong absorbance at 230 nm attributed to  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  transition (Fig. 5), similar to previously reported studies for GQDs [26,27].

The UGNSs obtained from type-2 graphite didn't reveal any absorbance behavior with possible shouldering in 350-400 nm range as seen for conventional graphene materials.

According to our knowledge, only one organized study has shown similar absorbance behavior in the lignite coal (POC)-obtained GQDs [25].

Raman spectra of the two graphite sources and UGNSs are depicted in Fig 6. The two

Main peaks appeared: D-peak (related to the defects of graphene) at 1350 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the G-peak at 1610 cm<sup>-1</sup> (the excitation laser was 532 nm). The relative intensity of the D-peak to the G-peak ( $I_{\rm D}/I_{\rm g}$ ) for the UGNSs1 is 1.16, indicating an increase of edge carbons and structural defects in these UGNSs [23-25], whereas for the UGNSs2 is 0.2, indicating lower disorders and better crystalline quality in these UGNSs than the UGNSs1 [28].

## CONCLUSION

In this study, we revealed that the graphite source plays an important role in the synthesis of UGNSs and their physical properties such as size, shape and color. UGNSs 1 with higher disorders were synthesized using type-1 graphite with amorphous sheets. While UGNSs 2 were obtained from type-2 graphite with crystalline structure showed lower disorders, different shapes and sizes with multi-color emission. Interestingly, in bioimaging devices like sentinel lymph node imaging system, one of the red, yellow and green fluorescence colors can be detected, separately.

These systems allow the detection of different emission colors using different excitation wavelengths and various types of optical filters. Synthesized UGNSs 2 in this study can be able to emit all three colors and can be considered as a promising fluorescent tracking probe in biological imaging.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors appreciate the financial support of this investigation by the research council of the Tarbiat Modares University.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors confirm that this article content has not any conflicts of interest.

### REFERENCES

- Shen J, Zhu Y, Yang X, Li C. Graphene quantum dots: emergent nanolights for bioimaging, sensors, catalysis and photovoltaic devices. Chem Commun. 2012; 48(31): 3686-3699.
- Akhavan O, Ghaderi E, Abouei E, Hatamie S, Ghasemi E. Accelerated differentiation of neural stem cells into neurons on ginseng-reduced graphene oxide sheets. Carbon. 2014; 66: 395-406.
- 3.Hatamie S, Akhavan O, Sadrnezhaad SK, Ahadian MM, Shirolkar MM, Wang HQ. Curcumin-reduced graphene oxide sheets and their effects on human breast cancer cells. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl. 2015; 55: 482-489.
- 4.Mahmoudifard M, Soleimani M, Hatamie S, Zamanlui S, Ranjbarvan P, Vossoughi M, Hosseinzadeh S. The different fate of satellite cells on conductive composite electrospun nanofibers with graphene and graphene oxide nanosheets. Biomed Mater. 2016; 11(2): 025006.
- Eda G, Chhowalla M. Chemically derived graphene oxide: towards large-area thin-film electronics and optoelectronics. Adv Mater. 2010; 22(22): 2392-2415.
- 6.Zhou X, Guo S, Zhong P, Xie Y, Li Z, Ma X. Large scale production of graphene quantum dots through the reaction of graphene oxide with sodium hypochlorite. RSC Adv. 2016; 6(60): 54644-54648.
- 7.Lin L, Rong M, Luo F, Chen D, Wang Y, Chen X. Luminescent graphene quantum dots as new fluorescent materials for environmental and biological applications. TrAC. 2014; 54: 83-102.
- 8.Pan D, Guo L, Zhang J, Xi C, Xue Q, Huang H, Li J, Zhang Z, Yu W, Chen Z, Li Z. Cutting sp 2 clusters in graphene sheets into colloidal graphene quantum dots with strong green fluorescence. J Mater Chem. 2012; 22(8): 3314-3318.
- 9.Li L, Wu G, Yang G, Peng J, Zhao J, Zhu JJ. Focusing on luminescent graphene quantum dots: current status and future perspectives. Nanoscale. 2013; 5(10): 4015-4039.
- 10. Tetsuka H, Asahi R, Nagoya A, Okamoto K, Tajima I, Ohta

R, Okamoto A. Optically tunable amino-functionalized graphene quantum dots. Adv Mater. 2012; 24(39): 5333-5338.

- 11.Nigam P, Waghmode S, Louis M, Wangnoo S, Chavan P, Sarkar D. Graphene quantum dots conjugated albumin nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery and imaging of pancreatic cancer J Mater Chem. B. 2014; 2(21): 3190-3195.
- Tetsuka H, Asahi R, Nagoya A, Okamoto K, Tajima I, Ohta R, Okamoto A. Optically tunable amino-functionalized graphene quantum dots. Adv Mater. 2012; 24(39): 5333-5338.
- 13.Nurunnabi MD, Khatun Z, Reeck GR, Lee DY, Lee YK. Near infra-red photoluminescent graphene nanoparticles greatly expand their use in noninvasive biomedical imaging. Chem Commun. 2013; 49(44): 5079-5081.
- 14.Dong Y, Chen C, Zheng X, Gao L, Cui Z, Yang H, Guo C, Chi Y, Li CM. One-step and high yield simultaneous preparation of single-and multi-layer graphene quantum dots from CX-72 carbon black. J Mater Chem. 2012; 22(18): 8764-8766.
- 15.Lin L, Zhang S. Creating water soluble luminescent graphene quantum dots via exfoliating and disintegrating carbon nanotubes and graphite flakes. Chem commun. 2012; 48(82): 10177-10179.
- 16.Peng J, Gao W, Gupta BK, Liu Z, Romero-Aburto R, Ge L, Song L, Alemany LB, Zhan X, Gao G, Vithayathil SA. Graphene quantum dots derived from carbon fibers. Nano lett. 2012; 12(2): 844-849.
- 17.Zhang Z, Zhang J, Chen N, Qu L. Graphene quantum dots: an emerging material for energy-related applications and beyond. Energy Environ Sci. 2012; 5(10): 8869-8890.
- Wang Y, Hu A. Carbon quantum dots: synthesis, properties and applications. J Mater Chem. 2014; 2(34): 6921-6939.
- 19.Sekiya R, Uemura Y, Murakami H, Haino T. White-Light-Emitting Edge-Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots. Angew Chem. 2014; 53(22): 5619-5623.
- 20.Cai M, Thorpe D, Adamson DH, Schniepp HC. Methods of graphite exfoliation. J Mater Chem. 2012; 22(48): 24992-25002.
- 21.Wang S, Cole IS, Zhao D, Li Q. The dual roles of functional groups in the photoluminescence of graphene quantum dots. Nanoscale. 2016; 8(14): 7449-7458.
- 22.Ye R, Xiang C, Lin J, Peng Z, Huang K, Yan Z, Cook NP, Samuel EL, Hwang CC, Ruan G, Ceriotti G. Coal as an abundant source of graphene quantum dots. Nat Commun. 2013; 4: 2943-2948.
- 23.Qu D, Zheng M, Zhang L, Zhao H, Xie Z, Jing X, Haddad RE, Fan H, Sun Z. Formation mechanism and optimization of highly luminescent N-doped graphene quantum dots. Sci Rep. 2014; 4: 5294-5303.
- 24.Smith AM, Nie S. Semiconductor nanocrystals: structure, properties, and band gap engineering. Acc Chem Res. 2010; 43(2): 190-200.
- 25.Bailey RE, Nie S. Alloyed semiconductor quantum dots: tuning the optical properties without changing the particle size. J Am Chem Soc. 2003; 125(23): 7100-7106.
- 26.Lin L, Rong M, Luo F, Chen D, Wang Y, Chen X. Luminescent graphene quantum dots as new fluorescent materials for environmental and biological applications. TrAC. 2014; 54: 83-102.
- 27.Li L, Wu G, Yang G, Peng J, Zhao J, Zhu JJ. Focusing on luminescent graphene quantum dots: current status and future perspectives. Nanoscale. 2013; 5(10): 4015-4039.
- 28.Chua CK, Sofer Z, Simek P, Jankovský O, Klímová K, Bakardjieva S, Hrdličková Kučková S, Pumera M. Synthesis of strongly fluorescent graphene quantum dots by cageopening buckminsterfullerene. Acs Nano. 2015; 9(3): 2548-2555.